UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,873	06/08/2007	Holger Listle	10191/4418	3733
26646 KENYON & K	7590 03/01/201 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADV	VAY	CHEN, SHELLEY		
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3661	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/01/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/590,873	LISTLE, HOLGER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	SHELLEY CHEN	3661			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 .</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 7-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	awn from consideration. for election requirement. her. herecepted or b) objected to by the legical discount of the discount	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8-25-06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/590,873 Page 2

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 7-13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claimed invention is a process that is not tied to a particular machine or apparatus, and does not particularly transform a particular article to a different state or thing. Therefore, the claims are non-statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 7-8, 10, and 12-13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Barkowski et al. (DE 10155485, see machine translation).

Art Unit: 3661

Regarding claims 7-8, Barkowski (see column 3, paragraph 13 to column 7, paragraph 25 and Figures 1-2, etc) discloses the claimed method for enabling navigation data (see title) which is stored on a data carrier (see column 4, paragraph 14, etc), and enabling the useful data for an area which is freely selectable by a user of the useful data (see column 5, paragraph 19 and columns 6 and 7, paragraph 25, etc).

Regarding claim 10, Barkowski discloses the claimed use authorization is transmitted via a radio signal (see column 4, paragraph 17, etc).

Regarding claim 12, Barkowski discloses the claimed time-limited enabling (see columns 5-6, paragraph 22, etc).

Regarding claim 13, Barkowski discloses that the area is not limited to any political boundary as claimed (see column 6, paragraph 23-24, etc).

5. Claims 7-8, 10, and 12-13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) and (b) as being anticipated by Thoone et al. (US 2002/0069360).

Regarding claims 7-8, 10, and 12-13, Thoone discloses the claimed method for enabling navigation data (see title) which is stored on a data carrier, and enabling the useful data for an area which is freely selectable by a user of the useful data (see abstract, etc).

Application/Control Number: 10/590,873 Page 4

Art Unit: 3661

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 9 and 11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barkowski et al. (DE 10155485, see machine translation).

Regarding claim 9, Barkowski fails to disclose enabling for an area within a radius around a freely selectable center point. However, it would have been obvious to do so, as commonly known in the art, in order to enable data for only a specific location such as a city that is approximately circular, with predictable results.

Art Unit: 3661

Regarding claim 11, Barkowski fails to disclose determining whether the user is located within the area for which a use authorization has been granted. However, it would have been obvious to do so, as commonly known in the art, in order to limit authorization only to users within an enabled area, with predictable results.

8. Claims 9 and 11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thoone et al. (US 2002/0069360).

Regarding claim 9, Thoone fails to disclose enabling for an area within a radius around a freely selectable center point. However, it would have been obvious to do so, as commonly known in the art, in order to enable data for only a specific location such as a city that is approximately circular, with predictable results.

Regarding claim 11, Thoone fails to disclose determining whether the user is located within the area for which a use authorization has been granted. However, it would have been obvious to do so, as commonly known in the art, in order to limit authorization only to users within an enabled area, with predictable results.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shelley Chen whose telephone number is (571) 270-

Application/Control Number: 10/590,873 Page 6

Art Unit: 3661

1330. The examiner can normally be reached Mondays through Fridays, between

10:00 AM and 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached at (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://www.uspto.gov/ebc. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Shelley Chen/

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3661

February 25, 2010

/Thomas G. Black/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3661